

1 PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
2 United States Attorney  
3 DAVID W. SPENCER  
4 Assistant United States Attorney  
5 501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 554-2700  
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900  
5

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
7 United States of America  
8

9  
10  
11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
14 Plaintiff,  
15 v.  
16 JOSE GUADALUPE LOPEZ-ZAMORA,  
LEONARDO FLORES BELTRAN,  
CHRISTIAN ANTHONY ROMERO,  
JASON LAMAR LEE,  
BAUDELIO VIZCARRA, JR.,  
JOAQUIN ALBERTO SOTELO VALDEZ,  
RUDI JEAN CARLOS FLORES,  
ERIKA GABRIELA ZAMORA ROJO,  
JOSE LUIS AGUILAR SAUCEDO, and  
ROSARIO ZAMORA ROJO,  
17 Defendants.  
18  
19  
20

CASE NO. 2:21-CR-0007-JAM  
STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE  
TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;  
[PROPOSED] ORDER

DATE: April 19, 2022  
TIME: 9:30 a.m.  
COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez

21  
22 STIPULATION

23 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and the above-captioned  
24 defendants, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 25 1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on April 19, 2022.  
26 2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until April 19,  
27 2022, and to exclude time between April 19, 2022, and July 12, 2022, under Local Codes T2 and T4.  
28 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:

1           a)       The grand jury returned an indictment in this case on January 28, 2021. Fourteen  
2 defendants are named in the publicly filed indictment. The names of two additional defendants  
3 who have not yet been arrested are redacted from the publicly filed indictment.

4           b)       On April 13, 2021, defendant Baudelio Vizcarra, Jr. made his initial appearance in  
5 the case and was arraigned on the Indictment. ECF No. 137. On May 4, 2021, the Court signed  
6 an order substituting attorney Sanjay Sobe as counsel of record for defendant Vizcarra. ECF No.  
7 160.

8           c)       On May 11, 2021, the Court signed an order substituting attorney Kresta Daly as  
9 counsel of record for defendant Christian Romero. ECF No. 162.

10          d)       On January 4, 2022, defendant Rosario Zamora Rojo made his initial appearance  
11 on a criminal complaint. *See* 2:21-MJ-00153-DB, ECF No. 5.

12          e)       On March 3, 2022, the grand jury returned a superseding indictment adding  
13 Rosario Zamora Rojo as a defendant and adding a money-laundering conspiracy charge against  
14 defendants Jose Lopez-Zamora and Erika Zamora Rojo. ECF No. 276.

15          f)       The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case to  
16 date includes approximately 7,720 pages of materials, including investigative reports,  
17 photographs, search warrant materials, and other documents, as well as voluminous audio and  
18 video recordings and approximately 978 recorded phone calls intercepted pursuant to the Title III  
19 wiretap in this case. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or  
20 made available for inspection and copying.

21          g)       Counsel for defendants need additional time to review the voluminous discovery  
22 in this case, to conduct independent factual investigation, to research trial and sentencing issues,  
23 to consult with their clients, and to otherwise prepare for trial.

24          h)       Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested  
25 continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into  
26 account the exercise of due diligence.

27          i)       The government does not object to the continuance.  
28

1                   j)       In addition, this case is “complex” within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.  
2                   § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) [Local Code T2], as this Court previously found in its February 10, 2021  
3                   Order (ECF No. 103) and subsequent orders.

4                   k)       Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the  
5                   case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the  
6                   original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

7                   l)       For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,  
8                   et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of April 19, 2022 to July 12, 2022,  
9                   inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4]  
10                  and 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) [Local Code T2] because it results from a continuance  
11                  granted by the Court at defendant’s request on the basis of the Court’s finding that the ends of  
12                  justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in  
13                  a speedy trial.

14                  4.       Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the  
15                  Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial  
16                  must commence.

17                  IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1 Dated: April 11, 2022

PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
United States Attorney

5 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ DAVID W. SPENCER  
DAVID W. SPENCER  
Assistant United States Attorney

6 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ Todd D. Leras  
Todd D. Leras  
Counsel for Defendant  
JOSE GUADALUPE LOPEZ-  
ZAMORA

7 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ Christopher R. Cosca  
Christopher R. Cosca  
Counsel for Defendant  
LEONARDO FLORES BELTRAN

8 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ Kresta N. Daly  
Kresta N. Daly  
Counsel for Defendant  
CHRISTIAN ANTHONY ROMERO

9 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ Olaf W. Hedberg  
Olaf W. Hedberg  
Counsel for Defendant  
JASON LAMAR LEE

10 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ Sanjay Sobti  
Sanjay Sobti  
Counsel for Defendant  
BAUDELI VIZCARRA, JR.

11 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ Michael D. Long  
Michael D. Long  
Counsel for Defendant  
JOAQUIN ALBERTO SOTELO  
VALDEZ

12 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ Tasha P. Chalfant  
Tasha P. Chalfant  
Counsel for Defendant  
RUDI JEAN CARLOS FLORES

1 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ Martin Tejeda  
Martin Tejeda  
Counsel for Defendant  
ERIKA GABRIELA ZAMORA ROJO

2 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ Dina L. Santos  
Dina L. Santos  
Counsel for Defendant  
JOSE LUIS AGUILAR SAUCEDO

3 Dated: April 11, 2022

/s/ Shari Rusk  
Shari Rusk  
Counsel for Defendant  
ROSARIO ZAMORA ROJO

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 **[PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER**

11

12 IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

---

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE